



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

EC

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/594,091	06/15/2000	Akio Itoh	000761	8583

23850 7590 12/06/2001

ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN, HATTORI,
MCLELAND & NAUGHTON, LLP
1725 K STREET, NW, SUITE 1000
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER

WARREN, MATTHEW E

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2815

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/594,091

Applicant(s)

Itoh

Examiner

Matthew E. Warren

Art Unit

2815

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 September 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2, 6.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Election filed on September 17, 2001.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-12 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 13-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 5.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2815

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Arita et al. (US 6,046,490).

Arita shows (fig. 1) a semiconductor device comprising a transistor having a first and second impurity region (3) formed in a substrate (1), and a gate electrode (5). A first insulating layer (6) covers the transistor. A capacitor (10) is formed on the insulating layer, the capacitor having a dielectric (8) formed of a high dielectric constant material (col. 8, lines 39-45), and an upper electrode (9) and lower electrode (7) with the dielectric positioned therebetween. A silicon oxide film (22) is formed over the capacitor and has its upper surface planarized. The upper surface of the oxide includes nitrogen because a silicon nitride film (14) is formed on the oxide. A second insulating film (15) is formed between the capacitor and the silicon oxide film.

Claims 5, 9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mochizuki et al. (US 5,990,507).

Mochizuki et al. shows (fig. 17) a semiconductor device comprising a transistor having a first and second impurity region (S, D) formed in a substrate (1), and a gate electrode (G, 4 & 5). A first insulating layer (10) covers the transistor. A capacitor is formed on the insulating layer, the capacitor having a dielectric (18) formed of a ferroelectric material, and an upper electrode (19) and lower electrode (17) with the dielectric positioned therebetween. A second insulating film (13) is formed on the capacitor. A local interconnection (22) is formed on the second insulating film for connecting the upper electrode of the capacitor to the first impurity region (S). Third

Art Unit: 2815

insulating film (30) is formed on the local interconnection and the second insulating film. A first wiring (BL) is formed on the third insulating film and electrically connects to the second impurity region (D) via a hole which is formed in the first, second, and third insulating films. A fourth insulating film (39) is formed on the first wiring and has a planarized upper surface. The upper surface of the first insulating film is planarized. A second wiring is formed on the fourth film and connects to the first wiring via a hole formed through the fourth insulating layer (col. 24, lines 49-67). The upper surface of the first insulating film is planarized.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arita et al. (US 6,046,490) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Singh et al. (US 5,847,464).

Arita shows of the element of the claims except the cavities formed in the silicon oxide film. Singh et al. discloses a semiconductor device comprising an interlevel dielectric layer (46) which has cavities (voids 72, 74, etc) formed throughout the layer (see fig. 3b). The cavities help lower the capacitance and ultimately helps reduce RC delay of signals along adjacent metal lines (col. 5 lines 57-67, col. 6, lines 48-64).

Art Unit: 2815

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor capacitor of Arita by forming cavities in the silicon oxide layer as taught by Singh to reduce capacitance and lower delay of signals along metal signal lines.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mochizuki et al. (US 5,990,507), as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Singh et al. (US 5,847,464).

Mochizuki shows all of the elements of the claims except the cavities exposed from an upper surface of the insulating film. Singh et al. shows (fig. 5 a-c) cavities (76, 78, 76", 78") in an upper insulating film (46) which are partially exposed from the film. Another insulating film (80) is formed on the first insulating (46) film to cover the cavities. The cavities help lower the capacitance and ultimately helps reduce RC delay of signals along adjacent metal lines (col. 5 lines 57-67, col. 6, lines 48-64). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor capacitor of Mochizuki by forming cavities in the silicon oxide layer as taught by Singh to reduce capacitance and lower delay of signals along metal signal lines.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mochizuki et al. (US 5,990,507), as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Arita et al. (US 6,046,490).

Mochizuki shows all of the elements of the claims except the third and fourth insulating films formed specifically of silicon oxide. Arita shows (fig. 1) a semiconductor device comprising a transistor having a capacitor device covered by third and fourth insulating films, which are formed of silicon oxide (col. 6, lines 1-17). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the capacitor device of Mochizuki by using silicon oxide as an insulating layer because Arita teaches that silicon oxide is a suitable material for protection of the semiconductor device.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Arita et al. (US 6,046,490).

Arita shows (fig. 1) a semiconductor device comprising a transistor having a first and second impurity region (3) formed in a substrate (1), and a gate electrode (5). A first insulating layer (6) covers the transistor. A capacitor (10) is formed on the insulating layer, the capacitor having a dielectric (8) formed of a high dielectric constant material (col. 8, lines 39-45), and an upper electrode (9) and lower electrode (7) with the dielectric positioned therebetween. A silicon oxide film (22) is formed over the capacitor and has its upper surface planarized. The upper surface of the oxide includes nitrogen because a silicon nitride film (14) is formed on the oxide. A second insulating film (15) is formed between the capacitor and the silicon oxide film.

With respect to the limitation of claim 12 concerning the insulating layer being plasma annealed, a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no

Art Unit: 2815

matter how actually made, *In re Hirao*, **190 USPQ 15 at 17**(footnote 3). See also *in re Brown*, **173 USPQ 685**; *In re Luck*, **177 USPQ 523**; *In re Fessmann*, **180 USPQ 324**; *In re Avery*, **186 USPQ 116** *in re Wertheim*, **191 USPQ 90** (**209 USPQ 254** does not deal with this issue); and *In re Marosi et al*, **218 USPQ 289** final product per se which must be determined in a "product by, all of" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that Applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case law makes clear. "Even though product-by- process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based upon the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product is made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, **227 USPQ 964, 966** (Fed. Cir. 1985)(citations omitted).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nakajima (JP 11-87633 A) also discloses ferroelectric capacitors having multiple insulating layers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Warren whose telephone number is (703) 305-0760. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, and alternating Fri, 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

MEW

November 27, 2001

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Eddie Lee', is positioned above the printed name and title.

EDDIE LEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800